

UNAPPROVED

COON RAPIDS CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 2, 2016

CALL TO ORDER

The first regular meeting of the Coon Rapids City Council for the month of February was called to order by Mayor Jerry Koch at 7:00 p.m. on Tuesday, February 2, 2016, in the Council Chambers.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG

Mayor Koch led the Council in the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL

Members Present: Mayor Jerry Koch, Councilmembers Denise Klint, Ron Manning, Wade Demmer, Jennifer Geisler, Brad Johnson and Steve Wells

Members Absent: None

ADOPT AGENDA

MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER GEISLER, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER WELLS, TO ADOPT THE AGENDA AS PRESENTED. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

PROCLAMATIONS/PRESENTATIONS

None.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS

1. JANUARY 19, 2016, COUNCIL MEETING

MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER MANNING, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON, FOR APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE JANUARY 19, 2016, COUNCIL MEETING. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

CONSENT AGENDA/INFORMATIONAL BUSINESS

2. ADOPT RESOLUTION 16-27 ACCEPTING DONATION OF REAL PROPERTY
 3. APPROVE FINAL PAYMENT FOR PROJECT 14-3, STREET RECONSTRUCTION
-

MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER MANNING, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER DEMMER, FOR APPROVAL OF THE CONSENT AGENDA AS PRESENTED. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

PUBLIC HEARING

4. CONDUCT A PUBLIC HEARING AND CONSIDER ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE 2156 AMENDING CHAPTER 10-600 CROOKED LAKE NO WAKE REGULATIONS
-

The Staff report was shared with Council.

Mayor Koch opened and closed the public hearing at 7:04 p.m. since no one appeared to address the Council.

MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER GEISLER, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON, TO ADOPT ORDINANCE 2156 AMENDING CHAPTER 10-600, NO WAKE REGULATIONS FOR CROOKED LAKE. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

BID OPENINGS AND CONTRACT AWARDS

None.

OLD BUSINESS

5. CONSIDER ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE 2157 REGARDING BREW PUBS, BREWERIES, TAPROOMS, DISTILLERIES AND COCKTAIL ROOMS
-

The Staff report was shared with Council.

MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER GEISLER, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER DEMMER, TO ADOPT ORDINANCE 2157 ESTABLISHING REGULATIONS WHERE BREWPUBS, BREWERIES, TAPROOM, DISTILLERIES AND COCKTAIL ROOMS CAN LOCATE AND ESTABLISHING DEFINITIONS OF COMMON TERMS, DIRECTING STAFF TO PUBLISH AN ORDINANCE SUMMARY.

Councilmember Geisler believed this was a great Ordinance for the City to be adopting and looked forward to a brew pub or brewery moving into the City of Coon Rapids.

THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

6. CONSIDER ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE 2158, AMENDING CHAPTER 12-202
 RULES REGARDING PLUMBING CODE

The Staff report was shared with Council.

MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER DEMMER, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER MANNING, TO ADOPT ORDINANCE 2158, THE NEW RULES GOVERNING THE MINNESOTA PLUMBING CODE BY REVISING CHAPTER 12, SECTION 12- 202(21) OF THE CITY CODE. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

NEW BUSINESS

7. PC 16-4: CONSIDER RESOLUTION 16-25 APPROVING REGISTERED LAND
 SURVEY – 12856 CROOKED LAKE BOULEVARD – RACHEL NEIMAN

The Staff report was shared with Council.

MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER MANNING, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER KLINT, TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 16-25 APPROVING THE REGISTERED LAND SURVEY WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:

1. THE APPROPRIATE DRAINAGE AND UTILITY EASEMENTS BE APPROVED BY THE CITY ENGINEER AND RECORDED AT THE COUNTY.
2. PARK DEDICATION IN THE AMOUNT OF \$4,000 (\$2,000 PER LOT) BE PAID PRIOR TO RELEASING THE LOT SPLIT FOR RECORDING.
3. COMPLIANCE WITH TITLE 11, LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS.

THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

8. PC 15-35: CONSIDER PRELIMINARY PLAT – 11301 DOGWOOD STREET
 (NORTHDALE MIDDLE SCHOOL AND EISENHOWER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
 PROPERTY) – ANDERSON JOHNSON ASSOC.

The Staff report was shared with Council.

MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER MANNING, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER WELLS, TO APPROVE PLANNING CASE 15-35, A PRELIMINARY PLAT WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:

1. ALL COMMENTS MADE BY ENGINEERING MUST BE ADDRESSED PRIOR TO RELEASING THE PLAT FOR RECORDING.
2. ALL TITLE ISSUES MUST BE ADDRESSED PRIOR TO APPLYING FOR FINAL PLAT.
3. MORTGAGE CONSENT OBTAINED PRIOR TO RECORDING OF THE FINAL PLAT.

Councilmember Klint asked if the land was platted when the schools were constructed. Planner Harlicker believed the property was not platted at that time. In addition, some of the school functions were located on City property and City functions were being conducted on school property. He explained that the proposed platting would clean up these inconsistencies.

Councilmember Demmer questioned if the machinery use adversely impact the adjacent neighborhood. Planner Harlicker reported a vehicle maintenance facility was being proposed. He stated the school districts current facility on 113th would be moved to this location and be used for vehicle storage. He anticipated there would be some light vehicle maintenance done on the vehicles inside the proposed building. He reported a public hearing was held at the Planning Commission meeting and no comments were offered by the public.

Councilmember Klint inquired how many notices were sent out for the public hearing. Planner Harlicker explained all properties within 350 feet of the property were notified.

THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER MANNING, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER GEISLER, TO APPROVE PLANNING CASE 15-35, A VARIANCE TO MINIMUM LOT DEPTH AND LOT FRONTAGE BASED ON THE FOLLOWING FINDINGS:

1. THE INTENT OF THIS CHAPTER IS MET.
2. THE GRANTING OF THE VARIANCES WILL NOT BE DETRIMENTAL TO THE PUBLIC SAFETY, HEALTH OR WELFARE, OR INJURIOUS TO OTHER PROPERTY OR IMPROVEMENTS IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD IN WHICH THE PROPERTY IS LOCATED.

3. THE CONDITIONS UPON WHICH THE REQUEST FOR A VARIANCE IS BASED ARE UNIQUE TO THE PROPERTY FOR WHICH THE VARIANCE IS SOUGHT AND ARE GENERALLY NOT APPLICABLE TO OTHER PROPERTY.
4. THE LITERAL INTERPRETATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF THIS CHAPTER WOULD DEPRIVE THE APPLICANT OF RIGHTS COMMONLY ENJOYED BY OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE SAME ZONING DISTRICT.
5. THE SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND CIRCUMSTANCES DO NOT RESULT FROM THE ACTIONS OF THE APPLICANT.
6. BECAUSE OF THE PARTICULAR NATURAL SURROUNDINGS, SHAPE, OR TOPOGRAPHICAL CONDITIONS OF THE SPECIFIC PROPERTY INVOLVED, UNUSUAL HARDSHIP TO THE OWNER WOULD RESULT, AS DISTINGUISHED FROM A MERE INCONVENIENCE, IF THE STRICT LETTER OF THESE REGULATIONS WERE CARRIED OUT

THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

9. PC 16-3: CONSIDER INTRODUCTION OF AN ORDINANCE REVISING THE ALLOWED EXTERIOR BUILDING MATERIALS
-

The Staff report was shared with Council.

Councilmember Manning recalled the City's previous discussions regarding EIFS. He appreciated the work staff had done to update the City's Code regarding exterior building materials. He supported the Ordinance.

Councilmember Klint feared that by omitting park structures something odd or obscure could be constructed. Planner Harlicker explained park buildings would have to be designed and approved by City staff.

Public Works Director Himmer indicated the City Council would always have the right to say what they do and do not want in the City parks. He provided further comment on how the City would go about designing and constructing a park structure.

City Manager Stemwedel reported park buildings would be constructed at the Council's discretion.

Councilmember Geisler believed the language could remain as is due to the fact a park structure would only be approved if they met the Council's approval.

Councilmember Johnson discussed the building materials that were proposed for the Sand Creek

park building, noting EIFS was being suggested. He reported this discussion led the Council to review and expand the allowed exterior building materials throughout the entire City. He believed the Council should have discretion as to what materials should or should not be used on a City park structure. He reported he would be supporting the Ordinance as recommended by staff.

Councilmember Demmer asked if the list of residential building materials applied to multi-family residential. Planner Harlicker reported this was the case.

Mayor Koch considered the Ordinance regarding allowed exterior building materials to be introduced.

10. CONSIDER RESOLUTION 16-26 AUTHORIZING SALE OF TAX-FORFEITED
 PROPERTY

The Staff report was shared with Council.

MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER GEISLER, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER WELLS, TO ADOPT RESOLUTION NO. 16-26 AUTHORIZING THE SALE OF TAX-FORFEITED PROPERTIES IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER:

1. 22-31-24-13-0038 SELL THE PROPERTY TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER.
2. 13-31-24-44-0116 SELL THE PROPERTY TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER.
3. 22-31-24-44-0034 SELL THE PROPERTY TO THE ABUTTING LANDOWNER.
4. 24-31-24-12-0006 SELL THE PROPERTY TO THE ABUTTING LANDOWNER.
5. 15-31-24-43-0006 SELL THE PROPERTY TO THE ABUTTING LANDOWNER.
6. 23-31-24-11-0031 SELL THE PROPERTY TO THE ABUTTING LANDOWNER.
7. 23-31-24-11-0030 CONVEY THE PROPERTY TO THE CITY OF COON RAPIDS.

Councilmember Manning asked if the abutting landowners were interested in purchasing the proposed property. Planner Harlicker stated the property would be offered to the abutting landowner and if there was no interest, the land would be given to the State.

THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

11. CONSIDER DOCUMENTS FOR ANOKA COUNTY RIGHT-OF-WAY
 ACQUISITION – 11313 FOLEY BOULEVARD

The Staff report was shared with Council.

MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER MANNING, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER

GEISLER, TO APPROVE THE QUIT CLAIM AND WARRANTY DEEDS FOR PORTIONS OF THE PORTIONS OF THE PROPERTY AT 11313 FOLEY BOULEVARD NW; AND AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR AND CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE THE DEEDS.

Councilmember Klint asked if staff was monitoring the County's interaction with Coon Rapids' residents along this corridor. Public Works Director Himmer understood that a month ago, there were 80 parcels the County needed to acquire and to date the County has negotiated 56 of those parcels. He anticipated the County would continue having conversations with homeowners. He believed that the County was well ahead of schedule for their acquisitions for this project. He commented some properties may not be settled until after the project was complete.

Councilmember Johnson asked why the City would be surrendering a portion of right-of-way instead of providing the County with a temporary easement to the land. Public Works Director Himmer explained the City actually had two deeds, one deed was temporary and the other was in fee.

THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

12. KURT SUTHERLAND – 1610-1620 107TH AVENUE NW – RENTAL LICENSE DENIAL APPEAL

The Staff report was shared with Council.

Mayor Grant questioned what process would be followed by the City in managing the tenants if the rental license were denied. City Attorney Brodie advised staff would allow some sort of time for the tenants to find alternative housing.

Councilmember Wells asked if the convictions on the background check would also serve as a reason to deny the rental license. Neighborhood Coordinator DeGrande explained Mr. Sutherland's convictions do not qualify under the Koskinen law, as his convictions were misdemeanors and gross misdemeanors.

Councilmember Johnson asked what steps Mr. Sutherland has taken to date to receive a rental license. Neighborhood Coordinator DeGrande reported Mr. Sutherland had submitted his rental license paperwork, paid a fee and submitted a falsified background check. It was noted Mr. Sutherland had registered for the March 3rd rental class.

Kurt Sutherland, 1610-1620 107th Avenue NW, thanked the Council for their time. He explained he was not made aware of the need to apply for a rental license until after he purchased his duplex last April. He read through the rental license requirements and understood that a background check was required. This worried him tremendously and he avoided the rental license for this reason. He indicated his renters received notification in December from the City, which led him to begin the rental licensure process. He then went to City Hall and filled out the

application. The area of the application that referred to criminal activity, he circled gross misdemeanor, as this this was his highest offense. He did not mean to omit any of his actions, as it would all be reported on his criminal background check.

Mr. Sutherland reported he had another misdemeanor 13 years ago and was surprised this showed up on his criminal background check as he thought the charges had been dropped. He stated in the last two weeks he had requested court documents to clarify there were no charges and this disposition had been forwarded to City staff. He apologized for neglecting his responsibility in securing a rental license, and for his oversight in properly reporting his criminal history. He asked for questions or comments from the City Council.

Councilmember Johnson explained he has reviewed the public records for Mr. Sutherland. He understood Mr. Sutherland had a misdemeanor domestic assault in 2002. He indicated Mr. Sutherland had a stayed sentence and did not serve any jail time. Mr. Sutherland was ordered to attend an anger management class. Mr. Sutherland stated this was the case. He stated a bench warrant was never issued for this matter and Mr. Sutherland never failed to make an appearance. He pled guilty and handled the consequences.

Councilmember Johnson then commented on Mr. Sutherland's more recent convictions. It was noted Mr. Sutherland made all of his court appearances, was represented by an attorney, accepted full responsibility for his actions, pled guilty to both offenses, was ordered to two years of probation, served a couple of weekends of jail time and completed the ordered treatment. He explained Mr. Sutherland never violated the terms of his probation. He commented that with respect to the court view, Mr. Sutherland has paid his debt to society and was successfully discharged from probation for both offenses.

Councilmember Johnson requested information from Mr. Sutherland regarding his tenants. Mr. Sutherland stated he had great tenants. He noted one couple had a two year lease and came with the building. The other couple was young and were very supportive of him.

Councilmember Johnson asked if Mr. Sutherland would be willing to provide a copy of his rental license to the City of Coon Rapids. Mr. Sutherland did not object to providing this information to the City.

Mayor Koch questioned if the rental license would have been issued if the offenses had been clearly listed on the rental license application. City Attorney Brodie advised that staff would have brought the matter to the City Council for consideration.

Councilmember Demmer inquired if any other rental license requests have been brought to the City Council for consideration under the "any good cause" clause. City Attorney Brodie did not recall this ever taking place since 2009.

Councilmember Johnson believed this case differed from previous cases addressed by the City Council given the fact Mr. Sutherland had successfully been discharged from his probation.

Councilmember Klint discussed the reason for the criminal background check explaining it assisted the City in managing problem properties throughout the City.

City Manager Stemwedel reported this item was being addressed by the Council due to the fact Mr. Sutherland omitted items from his criminal history on his rental license application. Another area of concern was that Mr. Sutherland failed to take action when notified of the City's rental license procedure.

Mayor Koch was satisfied with Mr. Sutherland's explanation as to why he omitted his history. However, the delay was a concern.

Councilmember Klint inquired if Mr. Sutherland owned any other rental properties. Mr. Sutherland indicated he owned another rental property in Zimmerman, Minnesota.

Councilmember Klint asked what other items Mr. Sutherland had to complete in order to receive a rental license from the City of Coon Rapids. Neighborhood Coordinator DeGrande reported Mr. Sutherland would have to receive support from the City Council, the license fee would have to be paid, the property would have to be inspected and Mr. Sutherland would have to attend the class on March 3rd.

Councilmember Klint questioned if the rental license would then have to be reapproved on a yearly basis. Neighborhood Coordinator DeGrande indicated the licenses were annual and would have to be renewed. She reported inspections would occur every two to three years.

Councilmember Klint inquired if there was a fee for the inspection. Neighborhood Coordinator DeGrande stated this was the case.

Councilmember Manning asked if the Council were to overturn the denial if this item would come back to the City Council for further consideration. Mayor Koch understood this was the hearing.

Councilmember Johnson believed the non-disclosure issue for the offense 13 years ago was unintentional. This matter did not trouble him and he did not believe the license should be denied based on this fact. He discussed the more recent offenses and encouraged the Council to understand both cases were sentenced on the same day. Again, he was not concerned by the non-disclosure as the gross misdemeanor was the higher of the offense and was reported. He believed it spoke volumes about Mr. Sutherland's character that he was before the City Council and had offered an explanation. He reminded the Council that the district court has discharged him from probation and there were no other concerns to date. He suggested the Council table the appeal and allow Mr. Sutherland to resubmit his application. He believed this would lead to a more thorough and informed decision to be made by both staff and the City Council.

Councilmember Wells had no desire to continue discussing this matter. He supported staff's

recommendation. He suggested the Council review the crimes that were committed and consider the age of the man committing the crimes. He recommended the Council review the City's Ordinance and consider why this was put in place.

City Attorney Brodie advised that Mr. Sutherland did plead guilty to two separate offenses, one being a misdemeanor and the other being a gross misdemeanor.

Councilmember Geisler commented on the omission that was made on Mr. Sutherland's part. She understood that Mr. Sutherland had made some mistakes and was looking to move on. She supported Mr. Sutherland and his willingness to move on and become a productive member of the community.

Councilmember Klint was willing to allow Mr. Sutherland to proceed. She was pleased by the fact that he came forward and offered an explanation.

Councilmember Manning supported second chances. However, he feared that the crimes committed were too severe given the fact Mr. Sutherland would be acting as a landlord. For this reason, he encouraged the Council to err on the side of caution and supported denial of the rental license.

Mr. Sutherland stated he has completed 400 hours of therapy and numerous assignments. He discussed how he relied on the rental income and he did not want to lose his tenants. He stated the duplex would have to be foreclosed, if he was not granted a rental license. He did not believe this was in the City's best interest. He explained that the health club where his offense occurred has allowed him reentry. He requested the Council also allow him to gain their trust. He proposed his rental license be approved on a probationary basis. He stated he would undergo further background checks if deemed necessary by the City Council.

Councilmember Demmer asked if Mr. Sutherland's tenants were fully aware of his background. Mr. Sutherland stated they were not fully aware, but did understand he had a misdemeanor and gross misdemeanor.

Councilmember Demmer did not believe Mr. Sutherland's omission was on purpose. While he wanted to believe in second chances, he also feared how Mr. Sutherland's tenants could be impacted by him having keys to their units. He discussed how difficult it was to act for the Council to act as a jury.

Mayor Koch stated he too was conflicted by this matter.

Councilmember Klint indicated the rental license request was originally brought to the Council because of the omission. She supported the rental license moving forward.

MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER KLINT, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON, UPHOLD THE APPEAL OF A RENTAL LICENSE FOR KURT SUTHERLAND,

PROPERTY OWNER OF 1610 AND 1620 107TH AVENUE NW BASED ON THE FACT THERE WAS NOT A COMPLETE OMISSION.

Councilmember Geisler understood the concerns of Councilmember Demmer and believed the Council should not convict Mr. Sutherland for what could possibly happen in the future. She hoped the Council could see the benefits of offering a second chance. She fully supported the motion.

Councilmember Demmer asked if the renters were to change, if the City required any further inspections of the property. Neighborhood Coordinator DeGrande commented the rental license follows the owner of the property and was not triggered by renters moving in or out. She stated the City could request a new rental agreement from the landlord.

Councilmember Wells feared if two young ladies were to move into one of these units how they could be impacted. She stated their trust rested with the City who had approved a rental license and had completed the necessary background checks. For this reason, he would not be supporting the proposed motion.

Councilmember Klint commented the Ordinance has only been in place since 2009. She believed it was incumbent on the renters to also do their due diligence.

Mayor Koch understood Councilmember Wells' point that renters would believe the property was safe given the fact Mr. Sutherland has received a rental license from the City. However, this may not be the case.

Councilmember Johnson respected Councilmember Wells' opinion on the matter. However, he would be supporting Mr. Sutherland given the fact he had not violated his probation or any of their terms. He encouraged the Council to hear the man before them this evening, understand that he has confessed his crimes, has undergone therapy, has a good track record and was trying to move forward with his life. He stated the issue before the Council was an issue of non-disclosure and not about what Mr. Sutherland might or might not do. He understood this was not an easy call. He would have rather the matter be tabled and reconsidered, but he could also support the Council upholding the appeal.

Councilmember Klint reiterated that the Council was being asked to review Mr. Sutherland's rental license request because of the omission. She supported Mr. Sutherland going through the entire process again.

Councilmember Johnson hoped that staff would not be bringing this matter back before the City Council for "good cause" given the level of discussion held by the Council this evening. He believed the omission was not intentional or fatal.

Councilmember Klint agreed.

Councilmember Manning stated if this matter were not to come back to the Council he would be voting based on the previous omission.

Councilmember Demmer requested staff investigate the possibility of background checks on landlords be presented to tenants. He did not know if this was possible, but wanted this information to be made available to all current and future renters.

Councilmember Johnson did not believe it would be possible for the results from a background check to be provided to tenants due to the fact there was confidential and non-public data. He stated he found all of the information on Mr. Sutherland through public court records. In addition, the rental license documents and appeal were all now a matter of public record.

THE MOTION PASSED 5-2 (MANNING AND WELLS OPPOSED).

13. CONSIDER RESOLUTION 16-29, A RESOLUTION AMENDING THE 2016 BUDGET FOR THE JOINT LAW ENFORCEMENT COUNCIL AND CONSIDER AGREEMENT WITH JLEC
-

The Staff report was shared with Council.

MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER GEISLER, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON, TO AUTHORIZE AN AGREEMENT WITH THE ANOKA COUNTY JOINT LAW ENFORCEMENT COUNCIL TO PROVIDE A PUBLIC SAFETY DATA SYSTEM ASSISTANT SYSTEM MANAGER WITH 80% OF THAT POSITION'S COST TO BE REIMBURSED BY THE JLEC; AND ADOPT RESOLUTION NO. 16-29 AMENDING THE 2016 BUDGET FOR JOINT LAW ENFORCEMENT COUNCIL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

14. CONSIDER RESOLUTION 16-28 AMENDING THE 2016 FACILITY CONSTRUCTION BUDGET TO FUND CARD READERS AND SECURITY CAMERAS FOR CITY CENTER BUILDING
-

The Staff report was shared with Council.

Councilmember Klint asked if the Council was increasing the budget. Finance Director Legg explained how the budget would be amended and funds would be shifted to cover the expense of the security equipment.

MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER MANNING, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER KLINT, TO ADOPT RESOLUTION NO. 16-28 AMENDING THE 2016 FACILITY CONSTRUCTION BUDGET FOR THE PURCHASE AND INSTALLATION OF SECURITY

EQUIPMENT FOR THE CITY CENTER BUILDING. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

15. CONSIDER RESOLUTION 16-30, ACCEPTING THE DONATION OF A LIFEPAK AED AND APPROVE BUDGET AMENDMENT FOR THE PURCHASE OF AN ADDITIONAL LIFEPAK AED
-

The Staff report was shared with Council.

MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER DEMMER, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER WELLS, TO ADOPT RESOLUTION NO. 16-30 ACCEPTING THE DONATION OF A LIFEPAK AED FROM NHL ALUMNI MINNESOTA AND APPROVE A BUDGET AMENDMENT FOR THE PURCHASE OF AN ADDITIONAL LIFEPAK AED.

City Manager Stemwedel explained the AED Lifepak will be presented to the City by the NHL Alumni Minnesota group at the hockey event this weekend.

THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

OPEN MIC/PUBLIC COMMENT

Mayor Koch reviewed the rules of order for the Open Mic/Public Comment portion of the meeting.

REPORTS ON PREVIOUS OPEN MIC

None.

OTHER BUSINESS

Councilmember Wells requested comment from staff on the letter that was sent to residents living along Mississippi Boulevard. Public Works Director Himmer explained Mississippi Boulevard was slated for reconstruction in 2016. He noted the work along this roadway would be extensive. He discussed the contents of the letter further and noted the City was proposing to have one side of the roadway be turned into a trail. This would mean parking would be restricted on one side of the road. He encouraged those that would be impacted by this project to attend the Public Hearing on February 16th.

Councilmember Manning was disappointed with the Snowflake Days activities that were

canceled. He questioned why the bonfire and sleigh rides were canceled. He encouraged the Snowflake Days committee to better inform the public on when events are canceled. Fire Chief Piper explained the decisions were made based on the fact the temperatures were too high.

City Manager Stemwedel noted there would be a special election on Tuesday, February 9th and the Council would be meeting in worksession on Wednesday, February 10th. He reported another worksession may be held at the end of the month.

ADJOURN

MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER GEISLER, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER KLINT,
TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT 8:45 P.M. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Jerry Koch, Mayor

ATTEST:

Joan Lenzmeier, City Clerk